

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/869,260	TERADA ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher P Bruenjes	1772	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after non-final amendment

(1) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Amy Pulliam (applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2005

**Time:** 11:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
none

Claims discussed:  
none

Prior art documents discussed:  
none


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant gave permission for the Examiner's amendment to the abstract.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)